

# **NETL Life Cycle Inventory Data Process Documentation File**

Process Name:		Processing and Liquefaction of NG and Storage of LNG					
Reference Flow:		1 kg of Stored LNG					
Brief Description:	re W	This Unit Process includes the Pipeline gas input, energy requirements, emissions, losses, and boil-off gas associated with Processing, Liquefaction and Storage of Natural Gas before it is exported					
Section I: Meta Data							
Geographical Coverage:		United States of	America <b>Region:</b>		N/A		
<b>Year Data Best Represents:</b>		2016					
Process Type:		Basic Process (BP)					
<b>Process Scope:</b>		Gate-to-Gate Process (GG)					
Allocation Applied:		No					
Completeness:		Individual Relevant Flows Captured					
Flows Aggregated in Data Set:							
	cess 🗵 Energy			Energy P&D		Material P&D	
Relevant Output Flows Included in Data Set:							
Releases to Air: $\boxtimes$	Gree	nhouse Gases	$\boxtimes$	Criteria Air Pollutants		Other	
Releases to Water: $\ \square$	Inorg	janic Emissions		Organic Emissions		Other	
Water Usage: □	Wate	later Consumption		Water Demand (throughput)		ıt)	
Releases to Soil:	Inorg	janic Releases		Organic Releases		Other	
Adjustable Process Parameters:  Energy source switch							

Source of Energy at the facility. 0 for grid Electricity; 1 for Parasitic NG

## Energy\_requirement\_MJ

Energy requirement for the entire process, depends on technology scenario

## NG\_loss\_liquefaction



NG lost during the process

### BOR\_from\_storage

Boil-off rate during storage of LNG

### storage\_time

LNG on-site storage time

### **Tracked Input Flows:**

### **Upstream Pipeline gas**

[Technosphere] Pipeline gas needed to store 1 kg of LNG after processing and liquefaction

### **Grid Electrcity required to re-liquefy BOG**

[Technosphere] Energy required to re-liquefy the BOG generated during liquefaction process

### **Electricity**

[Technosphere] Energy that is supplied through grid electricity

### Natural gas, combusted

[Technosphere] Energy that is supplied through Parasitic NG

### **Tracked Output Flows:**

#### Stored LNG

Reference flow

### **Section II: Process Description**

#### **Associated Documentation**

This unit process is composed of this document and the data sheet (DS) DS\_O\_LNG\_Liquefaction\_2018.01.xlsx, which provides additional details regarding relevant calculations, data quality, and references.



### **Goal and Scope**

This unit process provides a summary of relevant input and output flows associated with processing Pipeline NG, liquefying it to LNG and storing it on liquefaction site before it is exported. Boil-off gas (BOG) from temporary onsite storage is assumed to be re-liquefied. The reference flow of this unit process is: 1 kg of Stored LNG

### **Boundary and Description**

The pre-treatment, liquefaction, and storage UP accounts for the pre-treatment of the input pipeline quality gas, liquefaction of the pre-treated gas, and on-site temporary storage of LNG before it is loaded onto an ocean tanker. The pre-treatment processes include:

Acid gas removal (AGR): removal of CO2 and H2S from the pipeline feed gas, to avoid freezing and plugging in downstream units. (~7050 ppmv (EPA, 1996) to ~50 ppmv (Mallapragada et al., 2018) CO2)

Molecular sieve dehydration: removal of water to avoid freeze-up and unplanned shut downs, costly repairs and hazardous working conditions (ensure <0.5 ppmv water (Mallapragada, et al., 2018))

Heavy hydrocarbon (HHC) removal: to protect the main heat exchanger from freezing and plugging. This can be done by either Adsorption or Cryogenic Distillation ( $\approx$ 75 ppmv (EPA, 1996) to <10 ppmv C5+ (Smith & Doong, 2016))

The liquefaction facilities in the U.S. predominantly employ two technologies, Propane Pre-cooled Mixed Refrigerant (C3MR) process and Optimized Cascade process. This model represents the C3MR technology in combination with different pre-treatment technologies, represented through four different scenarios. The energy requirement for all scenarios is estimated based on literature (Mallapragada, et al., 2018). Based on the publicly available data on plant export capacities (EIA, 2017) and ship capacity assumptions (IGU, 2017), the residence time of LNG on site is estimated, which is treated as the LNG storage time on site. This value is estimated to be between 1.58 days to 1.90 days. During storage, Boil-off Gas (BOG) is generated at an estimated Boil-off Rate (BOR) of 0.02% to 0.1% (Dobrota, Lalic, & Komar, 2013). It is assumed that the BOG generated during storage is re-liquefied, which then enters back into the supply-chain. Literature suggests that the temporary onsite storage unit does not require energy to maintain the LNG in its liquid stage because it uses the concept of auto-refrigeration. The pre-treatment and liquefaction energy requirement is assumed to be met through combusting parasitic NG as it leaves the pre-treatment facility and before it enters the liquefaction facility. The functional unit of this unit process is the mass of LNG that is stored after being treated and liquefied.

Processing and Liquefaction of NG and Storage of LNG: System Boundary Upstream Pipeline gas **Grid Electrcity** required to reliquefy BOG This Unit Process includes the Pipeline gas input, energy requirements, emissions, losses and boil-off gas associated with Processing, Liquefaction and Storage of Natural Gas Energy requirement met before it is exported through grid Energy requirement met through parasitic Key **Process** Stored LNG **Upstream Emissions Data** 

Figure 1: Unit Process Scope and Boundary

#### **Embedded Unit Processes**

None.



#### References

- Dobrota, D., Lalic, B., & Komar, I. (2013). Problem of Boil-off in LNG Supply Chain *Transactions in Maritime Science, 2*, 91-100. doi: 10.7225/toms.v02.n02.001
- EIA. (2017). U.S. liquefied natural gas exports have increased as new facilities come online. EIA Retrieved November 20, 2018, from <a href="https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=34032">https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=34032</a>
- EPA. (1996). *Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Industry, Volume 6: Vented and Combustion Source Summary*. Research Triangle Park, NC:
- IGU. (2017). 2017 World LNG Report. IGU Website: Retrieved November 20, 2018, from <a href="https://www.igu.org/sites/default/files/103419-World\_IGU\_Report\_no%20crops.pdf">https://www.igu.org/sites/default/files/103419-World\_IGU\_Report\_no%20crops.pdf</a>
- Mallapragada, D. S., Reyes-Bastida, E., Roberto, F., McElroy, E. M., Veskovic, D., & Laurenzi, I. J. (2018). Life cycle greenhouse gas emissions and freshwater consumption of liquefied Marcellus shale gas used for international power generation. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 205*, 672-680. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.09.111
- Smith, T., & Doong, S. (2016, February 2016). Selective C5+ removal for lean feed gas. *LNG Industry*, 15-20.

### **NETL Life Cycle Inventory Data – Process Documentation File**

#### **Section III: Document Control Information**

**Date Created:** December 17, 2018

Point of Contact: Timothy Skone (NETL), Timothy.Skone@NETL.DOE.GOV

**Revision History:** 

Original/no revisions

**How to Cite This Document:** This document should be cited as:

NETL (2018). NETL Life Cycle Inventory Data – Unit Process: Processing and Liquefaction of NG and Storage of LNG. U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory. Last Updated: September 2018 (version 01). www.netl.doe.gov/LCA (http://www.netl.doe.gov/LCA)

#### **Section IV: Disclaimer**

Neither the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) nor any person acting on behalf of these organizations:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this document, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document may not infringe on privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liability with this report as to its use, or damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by NETL. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of NETL.